IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION NO. 2 OF 2006
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 5674 OF 1986

Muktabai S. Bagwan & Ors. .. Petitioners
V/s.
Shankar Dagdu Pardeshi & Ors. .. Respondents

Mr. Raghuraj S. Deshpande for the petitioner.
Mr. Vinod Savaji i/b. P.B. Shah for respondents.

CORAM : ANOOP V. MOHTA, J.
DATED : 24th March, 2006

P.C.

. The ground for a review in the present case is that petitioner was unable to attend the Court on the date of hearing of the Writ Petition. Considering the averments, the Review Application has been fixed for hearing.

2. Heard parties. The learned Counsel appearing for the applicant landlord agitated the issues which were answered in the Judgment already. The learned Counsel relied on AIR 1980 Supreme Court 954. It is observed that tenant should pay rent regularly even during the pendency of application for standard rent. This proposition is well settled.

3. Considering the controversy involved in the

present Writ Petition and in view of Para 4 & 5 of the Judgment itself, there is no case made out to interfere with the order.

4. Review petition is disposed of accordingly.

[ANOOP V. MOHTA, J.]